Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

This dispute was received on 04/16/03.

I. DISPUTE

Whether there should be additional reimbursement for hospital admission for dates of service 05/20/02 through 05/28/02. The carrier did not submit any EOBs or respond to the dates of service in dispute.

II. RATIONALE

The carrier made no reimbursement to the requestor. Requestor submitted a copy of a green card indicating that they had sent the billed charges certified mail.

Audit reductions are made per Rule 133.1, 133.301 and 134.401. Per Rule 134.401 (c)(6)(v), "Audited charges are those charges which remain after a bill review by the insurance carrier has been performed." The carrier did not submit an audit for the charges.

According to Rule 134.401 (b)(2)(A) all hospitals are required to bill usual and customary. The requestor billed usual and customary. The carrier did not respond to the dispute. Consequently, without the appropriate audits per §133.301 and 134.401, the total of these disputed/audited charges exceed \$40,000.00.

According to Rule 134.401(c)(6), the services in dispute are to be reimbursed per the Stop-Loss Method. Stop-loss is an independent methodology established to ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the hospital for unusually costly services rendered during treatment to an injured worker. Rule 134.401(c)(6)(A)(i) states that to be eligible for stop-loss payment the total audited charges for a hospital admission must exceed \$40,000. The reimbursement for the entire audited admission shall be paid using a Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor (SLRF) of 75%. The Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor is multiplied by the total audited charges to determine the Workers Compensation Reimbursement Amount (WCRA) for the admission.

Rule 134.401(c)(6)(B) states the formula for calculating the appropriate reimbursement is:

Audited Charges x SLRF = WCRA."

| \$113,436.56 | Total billed charges |
|--------------|---|
| -0.00 | Proper audit reductions |
| \$113,436.56 | Total audited charges |
| <u>x 75%</u> | SLRA |
| 85,077.42 | Total recommended reimbursement |
| -0.00 | Payments made |
| \$85,077.42 | Additional reimbursement recommended (WCRA) |

According to the Table of Disputed Services, the requestor has indicated the amount in dispute is \$81,397.45, even though the calculations above indicate otherwise. Therefore, additional reimbursement in the amount of \$81,397.45 will be recommended.

III. DECISION & ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services within this request, the Division has determined that the requestor **is** entitled to reimbursement for hospital admission of 05/20/02 through 05/28/02. Pursuant to Sections 402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 the Division hereby ORDERS the Respondent to remit **\$81,397.45** plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days receipt of this Order.

The above Findings, Decision and Order are hereby issued this 25th day of October 2004.

Michael Bucklin Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division Allen McDonald, Director Medical Review Division

AM/mkb